

# Optimal-area Visibility Representations of Outer-1-plane Graphs

### Visibility Representations



Vertices = axis-aligned rectangles Edges = axis-aligned segments, called visibilities

### Visibility Representations



Vertices = axis-aligned rectangles

Edges = axis-aligned segments, called visibilities

**Integer Grid** = vertex corners + vertex-edge attachment points have integer coordinates

 $Area = Width \times Height$ 

#### Existence & Area Bounds

Not all graphs admit a VR

- Recognition is NP-hard [Shermer, 1996]
- All planar graphs admit a VR [Otten & van Wijk.,1978]

#### Existence & Area Bounds

Not all graphs admit a VR

Recognition is NP-hard [Shermer, 1996] All planar graphs admit a VR [Otten & van Wijk.,1978]

If a VR exists, how small can the grid be?

 $O((n + m) \times (n + m)) = O(n \times n)$  area is always sufficient Planar graphs may require  $\Omega(n^2)$  area [Fößmeier et al., 1997] Series-parallel graphs have VRs in  $O(n^{1.5})$  area [Biedl, 2013] Outerplanar graphs have VRs in  $O(n \cdot \log n)$  area [Biedl, 2011]

### Variations



bar-(j,k) VR



ortho-polygon (OP) VR



orthogonal box-drawings

bar-(j,k) VR = visibilities can see through vertices OP VR = vertices are general orthogonal polygons Orthogonal box-drawings = edges are general orthogonal poly-lines Optimal-area Visibility Representations of Outer-1-plane Graphs



Outer 1-planar graphs = can be drawn s.t. all vertices are on the boundary of the outer face and each edge is crossed at most once

Outer 1-plane graphs = with a fixed outer 1-planar embedding (i.e., fixed rotation scheme and fixed pairs of crossing edges)



Outer 1-planar graphs:



Outer 1-planar graphs:

Planar and linear time recognition [Auer et al., 2015; Hong et al., 2015]



Outer 1-planar graphs:

Planar and linear time recognition [Auer et al., 2015; Hong et al., 2015] May require  $\Omega(n^2)$  area in any planar VR [Biedl, 2020]

Admit embedding-preserving orthogonal box-drawings with 2 bends per edge in  $O(n \log n)$  area [Biedl, 2020]

### Question

Can we always compute a VR of an outer-1-plane graph?

### Question

Can we always compute a VR of an outer-1-plane graph? YES

**Theorem**[Biedl, Liotta, M., 2018]. A 1-plane graph admits a VR if and only if it contains no B-configurations, no W-configurations, and no T-configurations.



### Question

Can we always compute a VR of an outer-1-plane graph? YES

**Theorem**[Biedl, Liotta, M., 2018]. A 1-plane graph admits a VR if and only if it contains no B-configurations, no W-configurations, and no T-configurations.



Can we achieve subquadratic area bounds?

### Contribution: subquadratic bounds

drawing style	lower bound	upper bound
VR	$\Omega(n^{1.5})$	$O(n^{1.5})$
complexity-1 OP VR	$\Omega(n  pw(G))$	$O(n^{1.48})$
1-bend orth. box-drawing	$\Omega(n  pw(G))$	$O(n^{1.48})$

#### EMBEDDING-PRESERVING

### Contribution: subquadratic bounds

drawing style	lower bound	upper bound
VR	$\Omega(n^{1.5})$	$O(n^{1.5})$
complexity-1 OP VR	$\Omega(n  pw(G))$	$O(n^{1.48})$
1-bend orth. box-drawing	$\Omega(npw(G))$	$O(n^{1.48})$
VR	$\Omega(npw(G))$	$O(n^{1.48})$
bar-(1,1) VR	$\Omega(n  pw(G))$	O(n  pw(G))
planar VR	$\Omega(n(pw(G) + \chi(G)))$	$O(n(pw(G) + \chi(G)))$

#### EMBEDDING MAY NOT BE PRESERVED

### Contribution: subquadratic bounds

drawing style	lower bound	upper bound
VR	$\Omega(n^{1.5})$	$O(n^{1.5})$
complexity-1 OP VR	$\Omega(n  pw(G))$	$O(n^{1.48})$
1-bend orth. box-drawing	$\Omega(npw(G))$	$O(n^{1.48})$
VR	$\Omega(n  pw(G))$	$O(n^{1.48})$
bar-(1,1) VR	$\Omega(n  pw(G))$	O(n  pw(G))
planar VR	$\Omega(n(pw(G) + \chi(G)))$	$O(n(pw(G) + \chi(G)))$

#### EMBEDDING MAY NOT BE PRESERVED

**Theorem.** For any N there is an n-vertex outer-1-plane graph with  $n \ge N$  vertices such that any embedding-preserving VR has area  $\Omega(n^{1.5})$ 

**Theorem.** For any N there is an n-vertex outer-1-plane graph with  $n \ge N$  vertices such that any embedding-preserving VR has area  $\Omega(n^{1.5})$ 



**Lemma**: Any VR  $\Gamma$  of  $G_{h,\ell}$  is such that if a rectangle has height at most h, then  $\Gamma$ 's width and height are  $\Omega(\ell)$ 



**Lemma**: Any VR  $\Gamma$  of  $G_{h,\ell}$  is such that if a rectangle has height at most h, then  $\Gamma$ 's width and height are  $\Omega(\ell)$ 



**Key observation:** In any embedding-preserving VR, there is at most one copy of  $H_{h,\ell}$  on the left side and at most one copy on the right side of  $v_0$ .

So one copy is such that all edges are vertical and, say, downward.

**Lemma**: Any VR  $\Gamma$  of  $G_{h,\ell}$  is such that if a rectangle has height at most h, then  $\Gamma$ 's width and height are  $\Omega(\ell)$ 



Proof by induction

**Theorem.** For any N there is an n-vertex outer-1-plane graph with  $n \ge N$  vertices such that any embedding-preserving VR has area  $\Omega(n^{1.5})$ 

To build G: fix  $h = \ell = \lceil \sqrt{N} \rceil$ ; add N leaves at  $v_0$ .

Consider any VR  $\Gamma$  of G. Since  $\deg(v_0) > N$ , W (or H) is  $\Omega(N)$ .

If the height of a rectangle is more than  $h = \sqrt{N}$  we are done, else by the previous lemma again the height is  $\Omega(\ell) = \Omega(\sqrt{N})$ .

### Contribution

drawing style	lower bound	upper bound
VR	$\Omega(n^{1.5})$	$O(n^{1.5})$
complexity-1 OP VR	$\Omega(npw(G))$	$O(n^{1.48})$
1-bend orth. box-drawing	$\Omega(n  pw(G))$	$O(n^{1.48})$
bidir. bar VR	$\Omega(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$
VR	$\Omega(n  pw(G))$	$O(n^{1.48})$
bar-(1,1) VR	$\Omega(npw(G))$	O(n  pw(G))
planar VR	$\Omega(n(pw(G) + \chi(G)))$	$O(n(pw(G) + \chi(G)))$

#### EMBEDDING MAY NOT BE PRESERVED

**Theorem.** Every *n*-vertex outer-1-plane graph has an embedding-preserving VR of area  $O(n^{1.5})$ .

It is enough to show that the height is  ${\cal O}(n^{0.5})$ 

It is enough to show that the height is  $O(n^{0.5})$ 

Let G be a maximal-planar outer-1-plane graph The weak dual  $\overline{G^*}$  of the planar skeleton  $\overline{G}$  of G is a tree of degree at most four



It is enough to show that the height is  ${\cal O}(n^{0.5})$ 

Let G be a maximal-planar outer-1-plane graph The weak dual  $\overline{G^*}$  of the planar skeleton  $\overline{G}$  of G is a tree of degree at most four



Idea: exploit tools known for so-called LR-drawings of binary trees

**Theorem** [Chan, 2002]. Let p = 0.48. Given any ordered binary rooted tree T of n vertices, there exists a root-to-leaf path  $\pi$  such that for any left subtree  $\alpha$  and any right subtree  $\beta$  of  $\pi$ ,  $|\alpha|^p + |\beta|^p \leq (1 - \delta)n^p$ , for some constant  $\delta > 0$ .





**Theorem** [Chan, 2002]. Let p = 0.48. Given any ordered binary rooted tree T of n vertices, there exists a root-to-leaf path  $\pi$  such that for any left subtree  $\alpha$  and any right subtree  $\beta$  of  $\pi$ ,  $|\alpha|^p + |\beta|^p \leq (1 - \delta)n^p$ , for some constant  $\delta > 0$ .





**Theorem** [Biedl et al., 2021]. Let p = 0.48. Given any ordered binary rooted tree T of n vertices, there exists a root-to-leaf path  $\pi$  such that for any left subtree  $\alpha$  and any right subtree  $\beta$  of  $\pi$ ,  $|\alpha|^p + |\beta|^p \leq (1 - \delta)n^p$ , for some constant  $\delta > 0$ .

#### High-level plan;

- $\bullet\,$  Pick a path  $\pi$  in  $\overline{G^*}$  that satisfies the theorem
- Construct a drawing of height h(F), where F is the size of  $\overline{G^*}$ , such that

$$h(F) = \max_{|\alpha|^p + |\beta|^p \le (1-\delta)n^p} \{h(|\alpha|) + h(|\beta|)\} + O(\sqrt{F})$$

One can verify that  $h(F) \in O(\sqrt{F}) \in O(\sqrt{n})$ We prove by induction that  $h(F) \leq \frac{12}{\delta}\sqrt{F} - 7$  $h(F) = \max_{\alpha,\beta} \{h(|\alpha|) + h(|\beta|)\} + 11\sqrt{F} + 7 \leq \frac{12}{\delta}\sqrt{|\alpha|} + \frac{12}{\delta}\sqrt{|\beta|} + 11\sqrt{F} - 7 \leq \frac{12}{\delta}(1-\delta)^{0.5/p}\sqrt{F} + 11\sqrt{F} - 7 \leq \frac{12}{\delta}(1-\delta)\sqrt{F} + 11\sqrt{F} - 7 = \frac{12}{\delta}\sqrt{F} - \sqrt{F} - 7 \leq \frac{12}{\delta}\sqrt{F} - \sqrt{F} - 7 \leq \frac{12}{\delta}\sqrt{F} - 7$ 

**Lemma.** Let G be an outer-1-plane graph. Then it admits an embedding-preserving VR that is a  $TC_{\sigma,\tau}$ -drawing of height h(F).



**Lemma.** Let G be an outer-1-plane graph. Then it admits an embedding-preserving VR that is a  $TC_{\sigma,\tau}$ -drawing of height h(F).



Proof by induction on FBase case with F = 1 and h(1) = 3 is trivial

We first draw straight the primal graph  $P_{\pi}$  of  $\pi$ 



We first draw straight the primal graph  $P_{\pi}$  of  $\pi$  We next merge recursively computed drawings of subgraphs hanging at  $\pi$ 



We first draw straight the primal graph  $P_\pi$  of  $\pi$ 

We next merge recursively computed drawings of subgraphs hanging at  $\pi$ 

Problem: the drawing is not a  $TC_{\sigma,\tau}$ -drawing



We further decompose the graph. The cap is the outer-1-path that contains s, t and all vertices adjacent to s and t.

A  $TC_{\sigma,\tau}$ -drawing of C and of its hanging subgraphs can easily be computed.



We further decompose the graph. The cap is the outer-1-path that contains s, t and all vertices adjacent to s and t.

The handle is the part of  $P_{\pi}$  not in C.

A  $TC_{\sigma,\tau}$ -drawing of C and of its hanging subgraphs can easily be computed.



We may need to extract k > 1 consecutive caps, for some parameter k.



We may need to extract k > 1 consecutive caps, for some parameter k.





We may need to extract k > 1 consecutive caps, for some parameter k.



Patching together the drawing of the cap(s) together with a drawing of the handle is the main challenge.



We need an ad-hoc construction that requires a number of extra rows that depends on the maximum number of edges (D) used to attach these special subgraphs.

One can show that the height is then

 $h(|\alpha|) + h(|\beta|) + 3k + D + 4 \le h(F)$  by choosing  $k \le \sqrt{n} + 1$ .



## **Open Problems**



